



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/561,008	12/16/2005	Helmut Forstner	281973US6PCT	6034
22850	7590	10/13/2010		
OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND MAIER & NEUSTADT, L.L.P. 1940 DUKE STREET ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314			EXAMINER ALANKO, ANITA KAREN	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1713	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/13/2010	ELECTRONIC

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patentdocket@oblon.com  
oblonpat@oblon.com  
jgardner@oblon.com

# **Interview Summary**

**Application No.**

10/561,008

**Applicant(s)**

FORSTNER ET AL.

**Examiner**

Anita K. Alanko

**Art Unit**

1713

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Anita K. Alanko.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(2) Robert Pous.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

Date of Interview: 05 October 2010.

Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference

c) ☒ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☒ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☐ No.

If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_

Claim(s) discussed: 67-69

Identification of prior art discussed: Forsnel, Babko-Malyi, Genhardt

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Anita K Alanko/  
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1713

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-413)

10/561,008

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:

Discussed amending the claims to include "adjusting the nozzle from one orientation to another orientation to set the direction of elongation of the slit to a certain orientation direction on the surface of the substrate" (claim 47, 1.5+), with similar limitations for claims 68+69.

Such would be a new issue for consideration. The amendment distinguishes over the prior art of record since there is no variation in the angle of nozzles in Forsnel & Babko-Malyi.

Anita K. Alanko

Anita K. Alanko

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1713